LONGITUDINAL FOLLOW-UP OF PREMATURELY BORN CHILDREN: PREDISCHARGE OUTCOMES OF HOSPITAL STIMULATION PROGRAMME

Jacqueline S. Chapman

The purpose of this paper is (a) to present findings from current research of the effect of taped voice and music on the short-term development of the preterm infant and (b) to examine implications for clinical practice suggested by such findings.

ORIENTATION TO THE PROBLEM

In 1980, in an excellent tertiary level neonatal intensive care unit 91% of infants over 750 grams (1 pound, 10 ounces) survived (Shennan & Milligan, 1980). The capacity to save virtually all viable preterm infants may be associated with an increasing morbidity later in these survivors. Previous follow-up studies of preterm infants in the United States (Lubchenco, Delivoria-Pagadopoulos, & Searls, 1972), in Canada (Fitzhardinge & Ramsey, 1973) and in France (Dargassies, 1977) have shown that the majority of preterm infants demonstrate some type of morbidity. Commonly reported impairments are: IQs less than 90 (Drillien, 1967; Fitzhardinge & Ramsey, 1973); behavioural problems (Dargassies, 1979; Douglas, 1960; Robinson & Robinson, 1965); motor disorders (Dargassies, 1979); and difficulties in school performance (DeHirsch, Jansky, & Langford, 1966; Drillien, 1967; Fitzhardinge & Ramsey, 1973).

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

A disproportionate number of children born prematurely prior to 1970 demonstrated subsequent morbidity. Regionalization of perinatal services in North America (Swyer, 1970) over the past decade has contributed to a much lower mortality rate in this population. Will the decrease in mortality add to the existing incidence of morbidity already evident in the preterm population?

Jacqueline S. Chapman, R.N., Ph.D., is professor, Faculty of Nursing, University of Toronto.

This project was supported by the National Health Research and Development Programme under National Health Research Scholar Award 6606-2024-48 and Project Grant 606-1602-43.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Three ideas directed the literature review: the rationale for morbidity abatement; supplemental stimulation as intervention; and means used to assess intervention.

Rationale for Morbidity Abatement

In 1963, a nurse, Eileen Hasselmeyer, proposed that one factor which might be related to the later morbidity of the preterm infant was the quality of the environmental stimulation afforded such an infant as a neonate. Giles (Note 1) in a review of the nursery policies that existed in the early 1960s noted that they were primarily concerned with asepsis and survival of the fittest. The concept of bonding was not in fashion and there was no facilitation of physical proximity immediately after birth between the premature and the parents. In fact, not only was the premature separated immediately from the parents at birth but no visitors, including parents, were permitted into the nurseries of the 1960s. In addition, Giles reported that if an infant was born at 30 or fewer gestational weeks it was "allowed" to die. Moreover, it was believed that surviving prematurely born infants should be disturbed as little as possible in an attempt to prevent infection. It now seems inconceivable that such policies ever existed.

The rationale underlying the proposition that the later morbidity of the premature was related to the inadequacy of his/her environment as a neonate was based on the following considerations:

- 1. The natural environment for the last trimester of human fetal growth and development is a dynamic one filled with minute-by-minute patterned stimulation in the tactile, vestibular, kinesthetic, and auditory modalities (Chapman, 1980, p. 12).
- 2. Experiments on animal models have shown that deprivation of such stimuli has resulted in detrimental changes in the structural complexity and chemical composition of the animal's developing brain (Schapiro & Vukovich, 1970).
- 3. In a busy neonatal intensive care unit the total amount of both aversive and nutritive stimuli that the non-nippling premature receives has been found to average only five minutes per hour (Barnard, 1979, p. 56).

Hence it was proposed that simulation of some aspects of the dynamic intrauterine environment be implemented in neonatal intensive care units.

Supplemental Stimulation as Intervention

In the 1960s the first four studies employing supplementary tactile and/or vestibular stimulation were instigated (Freeman, 1969; Hasselmeyer, 1963; Solkoff, Yaffe, Weintraub, & Blase, 1969; Freedman, Boverman, & Freedman, Note 2). Outcomes suggested that such interventions may promote short-term increments in weight gain, reduce crying, and have potential long-term effects on motor development. The decade of the 1970s saw eight further studies introduce supplementary tactile stimulation either alone or in combination with vestibular or kinesthetic stimulation (Korner, Kraemer, Haffner, & Cosper, 1975; Kramer, Chamorro, Green, & Knudtson, 1975; Neal, 1970, 1977; Powell, 1977; Sigueland, 1973; Solkoff & Matuszak, 1975; White & Labarba, 1976). These studies continued to indicate that weight gain was facilitated, as was the predischarge level of infant development in the tactile-stimulated subjects. Improvement in experimental subjects' respiratory and digestive functions was also noted. In 1981 Rausch administered a daily 15-minute tactilekinesthetic regime over 10 days to 20 premature infants. She also concluded that gastrointestinal function was improved in experimental subjects.

Three supplementary auditory stimulation studies using taped parental voices or Brahms' lullaby also were introduced in the 1970s (Chapman, 1978; Katz, 1971; Segall, 1971). Again experimental subjects had better weight gain and also had higher scores on cognitive, motor, and sensory tests prior to discharge. Five studies which combined auditory and vestibular or combined visual and tactile stimulation also were completed in the 1970s (Barnard, 1973; Groom, 1973; Kramer & Pierpont, 1976; McNichol, 1973; Wright, 1971). Such multimodality stimulation appeared to aid weight gain, or increase head circumference, facilitate neurological development and help the infant cope better under stress.

Means Used to Assess Intervention

Three major yardsticks used to measure the "success" of the supplementary stimulation intervention have been anthropometric measurements, level of subjects' activity, and use of standardized developmental scales prior to discharge.

Anthropometric measurements. Gains in weight, length, and head circumference are scrutinized as well as the number of days required to regain birth weight.

Activity measurements. In the 1960s studies, simple rating scales of the activity levels of subjects were used (Hasselmeyer, 1963; Solkoff et al., 1969). In 1978 Chapman had a watchmaker design a valid and reliable instrument called an accelerometer to measure quantitatively the limb movement of premature infants. This instrument looks like a miniature watch and can be worn comfortably on the wrist or ankle of a premature infant prior to discharge. For smaller infants a sensor which detects movement can be placed within the incubator's mattress (Campbell, Kuyek, Lang, & Partington, 1971). Maturation is thought to be reflected by a decrease in purposeless movements. During active sleep there are accompanying limb movements 80% of the time (Parmelee, K. Bruck, M. Bruck, Wenner, Akiyama, Stern, & Flescher, 1967). Active sleep decreases markedly between the 28th and 37th week of gestation (Parmelee et al., 1967); hence one would anticipate movement during sleep would decrease. Since the neonate prior to the 37th week spends 75%-80% of 24-hour period in sleep (Hasselmeyer, 1961) it seems feasible to measure the maturational progress in terms of activity level during these time periods.

Standardized developmental scales. Two scales, the Graham-Rosenblith (Rosenblith, 1961) and the Neonatal Behavioral Assessment Scale (NBAS) (Brazelton, 1973) have been used to assess the predischarge behavioural repertoire of the premature infant. The Graham-Rosenblith assesses motor strength, adaptation to tactile stimuli, vision, hearing, motor tone and general maturation. It has been used to assess outcomes in four of the supplementary stimulation studies (Katz, 1971; McNichol, 1973; Neal, 1970, 1977). The NBAS is a more sophisticated test but was found to be disorganizing for the infant under 34 gestational weeks and Kang and Barnard (1979) caution that its validity for testing the preterm infant is yet to be established.

Summary

The literature reveals that there has been a vast change in accepted policies governing nursery practices in the past 20 years, that provision of supplementary stimulation has been found to be efficacious and that tools have been devised to assess the outcomes of nursing interventions.

THE PURPOSE

The purpose of the study presented here was to assess the effect of one type of supplementary stimulation, taped voice and music, on subsequent developmental attainment as demonstrated by (a) anthropometric measurements including days to regain birth weight, (b) activity level as a maturational index during hospitalization and at discharge as measured by mattress sensors and on accelerometers, and (c) developmental scores on the Graham-Rosenblith test.

RESEARCH HYPOTHESIS

The research hypothesis formulated a priori was that subjects exposed to a supplementary regimen of taped voice and music during hospitalization would score significantly better on all outcome measures than those not exposed to supplementary stimulation.

METHODOLOGY

Design

A posttest-only control group design was used (Campbell & Stanley, 1963). The design incorporated two hospital interventions and one control group. The hospital intervention consisted of intermittent, patterned auditory stimulation — either a lullaby during the first half of hospitalization, later replaced by parental voice(s), for 5-10 minutes at the mid-point of each feeding interval (hospital sequential group) or the lullaby alternated with speech at midpoint intervals throughout hospitalization (hospital alternate group). Selection of this specific independent variable was based on the following considerations:

- 1. Sound had been found to be more effective than the visual or tactile modalities in reduction of neonatal activity (Wolff, 1966, p. 40).
- 2. Molfese (1977) demonstrated that speech sounds evoke stimulation of the left hemisphere whereas musical notes produce stimulation in the right hemisphere. Hence an auditory regime which contained both speech and music would have the potential for stimulation of both hemispheres of the developing neonate's brain.

Sample

The sample comprised 259 infants born between November 4, 1975 and September 26, 1979. Fourteen subjects, seven with cerebral palsy and seven subsequently found to have severe developmental problems are not, as Tilford (1976) recommends, included in this analysis but will be analyzed separately. The 245 remaining subjects were assigned at random to one of the three groups. The control group had 82 subjects, the sequential group 83, and the alternate group 80 sujects. There were 121 females and 124 males comparably divided among the three groups (see Table 1).

Table 1
Randomized Assignment of Subjects to Three Treatment Groups

		Treatment Group	•
Sex	Control	Sequential	Alternate
Male	42	42	40
Female	40	41	40
Total	82	83	80

In the total remaining sample the average gestional age at birth was 226 days (32 weeks, 2 days); the average birth weight was 1553 grams (3 pounds, 7 ounces). Twenty-two percent of the subjects came from a multiple birth. Of the 241 with complete labour records 54% (130) of the mothers had sedatives and/or analgesics administered during labour; 37% (91) of the subjects were delivered by caesarian section — 31% were delivered by emergency caesarian section. Approximately two-thirds of the subjects were born to parents who were both Caucasian (fathers 163; mother 170); approximately 13% were Black (fathers 30; mothers 35), 7% were East Indian (18 parental sets), 4% were Chinese, 4% were Philippino, and 2% were "other". At the time of birth 89% of the families were two-parent families (213 were married; 23 were living common-law); 11% (25) were born to a single parent. In 47% of the cases the subject was the first live born child for the family, 32% of the families had one other child, 15% had two other children and 6% had three or more other children.

Settings

The sample was drawn from the premature nurseries of three university teaching hospitals. The design incorporated stratification of treatment group by hospital. Table 2 demonstrates that in each hospital there was comparable representation among the three treatment groups.

Table 2 Stratified Random Assignment of Subjects to Three Hospitals

		Hospital ass	signment	
Hospital Code	Control	Sequential	Alternate	Total
1	13	15	18	46
2	20	21	19	60
3	49	48	42	139

Procedure

During the first four days of the subject's life the investigator or her delegate visited the infant's parent(s) to explain the study and to secure written consent for the child's inclusion. Parents were provided with poems, rhymes, or short stories in their native tongue that they might use, or were free to tape what they wished. A master tape was made for each subject and, after pretest screening for hearing, the auditory regime was instigated on the 5th day of life.

The anthropometric measurements of weight, length, and head circumference at birth were recorded and the number of days required to regain birth weight was noted. Mattress sensors were used to obtain a 4th-day, 3rd-week, and predischarge activity measurement over and eight-hour period. Accelerometers were placed on the ankle and wrist of subjects over a 24-hour period for an Adaptation Day, a Test Day One; and a Test Day Two, in the three-day period prior to discharge. For 50 percent of the sample two blind testers each independently performed the Graham-Rosenblith test just prior to discharge; for the other 50 percent a single tester assessed the subject. Anthropometric measurements were again recorded at discharge.

Statistical Plan for Data Analysis

Since the computer program used (SAS) deletes all variables for the case if even one observation is missing, multivariate and univariate

analysis was used in the examination of outcome variables. Chisquare was used to examine the distribution of descriptive variables among treatment or hospital groups. The alpha level for the study, determined a priori, was p = .05.

RESULTS

Anthropometric Measurements

Weight. There was no statistically significant difference in the three treatment groups' weight at birth, or predischarge. In addition, the initial weight loss and the number of days to regain birth weight did not differ among groups (see Table 3).

Length. There was no statistically significant difference in the three groups' length at birth or predischarge. In addition, the gain in length did not differ among groups (see Table 3).

Head circumference. There was no statistically significant difference in the three groups' head circumference at birth or predischarge. In addition, the growth in head circumference did not differ among the groups (see Table 3).

Activity Measurements

Mattress sensor measurements. There was no statistically significant difference in the total activity of the three treatment groups on the 4th day of life, during the 3rd week of life, or predischarge (see Table 4).

Limb activity recorded on accelerometers. Upper, lower, and total limb activity did not differ significantly among the groups on the Adaptation Day or on either Test Day One or Test Day Two (see Table 5).

Predischarge Developmental Test Measurement

Prior to examination of the groups' scores on the Graham-Rosenblith test it was ascertained that the gestational age of the subjects did not differ at the time of test. Hence the biological age at maturation did not affect these scores (see Table 6).

No statistically significant differences were found on any of the component parts of the Graham-Rosenblith Test (see Table 7).

DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

Findings will be discussed in regard of anthropometric, activity and developmental measurements. Implications for practice in the care of prematurely born infants similar to those described in this sample are presented.

Table 3

		E	Treatment aroun	Citore			Comple			
400000000000000000000000000000000000000	Control		Sequ	Sequential	Alte	Alternate	ambre		F	Ъ
measurement neasurement	ı×	s.d.	ı×	s.d.	ı×	s.d.	ı×	s.d.		
Weight (grams)										
Birth	1569	303	1522	311	1568	305	1553	306	\	n.s.
Predischarge	2181	186	2185	193	2187	196	2182	194	7	n.s.
Initial loss	116	92	132	69	127	70	125	73	1.03	n.s
Fime to regain birth weight (days)	11.95	6.01	13.90	6.39	13.25	6.10	13.1	6.17	2.06	n.s.
Length (centimeters)										
Birth	41.80	3.01	41.30	2.91	41.45	2.52	41.52	2.98	< 1	n.s.
Predischarge	45.75	2.37	45.45	2.40	45.45	2.11	45.57	2.37	7	n.s.
Increment	4.25	2.64	4.50	4.78	3.95	2.39	4.25	2.51	7	n.s.
lead Circumference (centimeters)	ntimeters)									
Birth	28.89	1.86	28.68	1.67	29.32	1.89	28.96	1.81	2.50	n.s.
Predischarge	33.02	1.49	33.25	1.76	33.15	1.17	33.13	1.55	^ 1	n.s.
Increment	4.21	2.04	4.46	2.02	3.82	2.05	4.15	2.04	1.89	n.s.

Table 4

n.s. n.s. n.s Ы 1.21 1.39 1.06 ы Time of Mattress Sensor Measurement of Activity of Three Treatment Groups s.d. 169 188 167 Sample 203 194 217 ı× Alternate s.d. 126 190 227 178 209 241 ı× s.d. Sequential 206 165 170 Treatment Group 209 202 221 1 × s.d. 138 158 151 Control 168 200 207 ı× sensor measurement Time of mattress Predischarge Third week Fourth day

Table 5

Upper, Lower and Total Limb Accelerometer Scores for the Three Treatment Groups on the Adaptation Day, Test Day One and Test Day Two

Control Sequential Alternate x s.d. x s.d. x s.d. 83 48 96 78 84 58 84 94 58 102 71 115 71 104 64 171 78 191 116 200 117 187 109 98 88 89 48 104 71 97 72 112 81 99 52 121 84 110 76 210 149 186 74 223 137 206 127 100 93 86 43 88 42 91 71 203 107 189 117 192 87 197 113		i e	Tre	Treatment group	group				Sample	1e	ĽΨ	Ы
x s.d. x s.d. x s.d. x s.d. 83 48 96 78 84 58 84 96 74 94 58 64 94 64 94 58 88 84 58 64 96 71 115 71 104 64 109 97 109 98 64 106 71 99 72 121 84 110 76 127 127 120 127 120 127 120 127 120 120 127 120 127 120 127 120 127 120 127 120 127		Con	trol	Seque	ential	1	Alter	nate		1		
83 48 96 78 84 58 84 64 94 58 102 71 115 71 104 64 vity 171 78 191 116 200 117 187 109 112 81 99 52 121 84 110 76 vity 100 93 86 43 88 42 91 71 110 60 105 69 103 58 106 64 vity 203 107 189 117 192 87 193 113	Accelerometer score	ı×	s.d.	ı×	s.d.	'^		s.d.	ı×	s.d.		
ab 83 48 96 78 84 58 84 58 64 ab 94 58 102 71 115 71 104 64 civity 171 78 191 116 200 117 187 109 ab 112 81 99 52 121 84 110 76 civity 210 149 186 74 223 137 206 127 ab 100 93 86 43 88 42 91 71 ab 110 60 105 69 103 58 106 64 civity 203 107 189 117 192 87 197 113	Adaptation day	7										
ab 94 58 102 71 115 71 104 64 civity 171 78 191 116 200 117 187 109 ab 98 88 89 48 104 71 97 72 ab 112 81 99 52 121 84 110 76 civity 210 149 186 74 223 137 206 127 ab 100 93 86 43 88 42 91 71 ab 110 60 105 69 103 58 106 64 civity 203 107 189 117 192 87 197 113	Upper limb	83	84	96	78		84	58	88	35	7	n.s.
tivity 171 78 191 116 200 117 187 109 ab 98 88 89 48 104 71 97 72 ab 112 81 99 52 121 84 110 76 tivity 210 149 186 74 223 137 206 127 ab 100 93 86 43 88 42 91 71 ab 110 60 105 69 103 58 106 64 tivity 203 107 189 117 192 87 197 113	Lower limb	96	28	102	71		115	71	104	9	1.87	n.s.
ab 98 88 89 48 104 71 97 72 ab 112 81 99 52 121 84 110 76 tivity 210 149 186 74 223 137 206 127 ab 100 93 86 43 88 42 91 71 ab 110 60 105 69 103 58 106 64 tivity 203 107 189 117 192 87 197 113	Total activity	171	78	191	116		000	1117	187	109	1.43	n.s.
mb 98 88 89 48 104 71 97 72 mb 112 81 99 52 121 84 110 76 tivity 210 149 186 74 223 137 206 127 mb 100 93 86 43 88 42 91 71 mb 110 60 105 69 103 58 106 64 rivity 203 107 189 117 192 87 197 113	Test Day One											
mb 112 81 99 52 121 84 110 76 tivity 210 149 186 74 223 137 206 127 mb 100 93 86 43 88 42 91 71 mb 110 60 105 69 103 58 106 64 rivity 203 107 189 117 192 87 197 113	Upper limb	86	88	88	48		104	71	97	72	\	n.s.
tivity 210 149 186 74 223 137 206 127 mb 88 42 91 71 mb 50 105 69 103 58 106 64 197 113 192 87 197 113	Lower limb	112	81	66	52		121	84	110	9/	7	n.s.
mb 100 93 86 43 88 42 91 71 mb 110 60 105 69 103 58 106 64 14 117 192 87 197 113	Total activity	210	149	186	74		23	137	206	127	7	n.s.
100 93 86 43 88 42 91 71 110 60 105 69 103 58 106 64 vity 203 107 189 117 192 87 197 113	Test Day Two											
110 60 105 69 103 58 106 64 203 107 189 117 192 87 197 113	Upper limb	100	93	98	43		88	42	91	71	7	n.s.
203 107 189 117 192 87 197 113	Lower limb	110	09	105	69		103	58	106	9	7	n.s.
	Total activity	203	107	189	117		192	87	197	113	^ 1	n.s.

Table 6

n.s. Maturation of Subjects in Three Treatment Groups at Time of Graham-Rosenblith Test Ľ s.d. 15 Sample 263 ı× 15.09 s.d. Alternate 263 ı× 13.09 s.d. Sequential Treatment 3roup 263 ١× 15.56 s.d. Control 261 Gestational age Maturation of subjects

Table 7

Predischarge Scores on the Graham-Rosenblith Test for the Three Treatment Groups

		Treatmen	Treatment Group	0			Sample			
	Control	01	Sequential	ntial	Alternate	nate			щ	Ь
Score	ı×	s.d.	ı×	s.d.	ı×	s.d.	ı×	s.d.		
Motor	4.60	4.60 1.70	4.70 1.76	1.76	4.45 1.57	1.57	7.60	4.60 1.68	7 1	n.s.
Tactile-adaptive	7.55 1.73	1.73	7.25	1.82	7.40 1.66	1.66	7.40 1.76	1.76	\	n.s.
General Maturation	12.20 3.01	3.01	11.95	3.24	12.50 3.15	3.15	12.38 6.17	6.17	\	n.s.
Hearing	4.25	4.25 0.95	4.45 0.86	98.0	4.30 0.91	0.91	4.39 0.85	0.85	\checkmark_1	n.s.
Vision	7.60	7.60 2.32	7.95 2.85	2.85	6.85 2.82	2.82	7.49 4.93	4.93	1.00	n.s.
Motor tone	5.10	5.10 0.37	5.15	5.15 0.53	5.10	5.10 0.66	5.12 0.57	0.57	< 1	n.s.

Anthropometric Measurements

The change in weight, length and head circumference of the sample was monitored, on average, for a five-week period. Using the Canadian Usher and McLean (1969) curves for each of these three variables, the sample's head circumference growth curve between the 32nd and 37th week of gestation followed just below the 50th percentile. Length and weight curves, however, dropped precipitously to two deviations from the mean (at and below, respectively). Supplementary auditory stimulation did not alter this pattern in this sample. It took these subjects an average of 13 days — almost two weeks — to regain their birth weight.

Implications for practice. For the parents of the 1500-gram, 32-week gestational infant, nurses can allay their anxiety by telling them (a) that their infant is not expected to regain birth weight for about two weeks, and (b) that during the five weeks or so of hospitalization the most growth can be anticipated to occur in head size, which is important as that is believed to reflect brain growth.

Activity Measurements

Activity level as measured by mattress sensors or accelerometers was not different among the three groups. The 4th-day mattress sensor measurements probably were affected by the analgesics, sedatives, and anaesthetics that approximately 50% of the subjects' mothers received. Hence the 4th-day measurement probably represents an underestimate of activity level. Even so, the average activity score at 35 gestional weeks — the 3-week postbirth measurement — was slightly lower than the initial one. Hence, the expected pattern of fewer purposeless movements probably occurred. Prior to discharge, the activity rose and possibly reflects at 37-1/2 gestational weeks, longer alert states than sleep states.

When the "strange" accelerometers were first placed on the subjects' ankles and wrists prior to discharge, as had been found previously in prematurely born as opposed to full-term children, the subjects tended to move their limb less (Chapman, 1978) as if unable to adapt to the stress. The only group who did not evidence this pattern was the sequential group — those who had just approximately two weeks of taped parental voices. Did the human component of the auditory pattern help them adapt better?

Implications for practice. Parents can be told that the activity of their infant may be reduced through the effects of maternal medications during the first week of life. The tremors they observe in their sleeping infant should diminish by the 3rd week of life and are an indicator of their infant's maturation. Prior to discharge their infant will have longer alert periods accompanied by activity.

Developmental Measurements

Prior to discharge all subjects were assessed for general maturation and sensory functioning on the Graham-Rosenblith scale. This sample's scores on motor and tactile-adaptive items and hence total maturation score are higher than Rosenblith's (Note 3) own 1975 data on preterm infants of comparable gestational age. The mean motor score was 4.60 out of a possible total of 9.00. Similar scores were reported in two of the previous four supplementary studies (McNichol, 1973; Neal, 1977) of preterm infants in which no statistical differences among experimental and control groups were reported. Katz (1971) and Neal (1967), using auditory and vestibular supplementary stimulation respectively, had found significant differences between experimental and control groups. Their experimental subjects scored higher than the current sample. Since a decade ago infants were discharged at heavier weights, their experimental subjects had a longer exposure to the experimental treatment, which may account for the difference.

The mean tactile-adaptive score of 7.40 out of a possible 9 for this sample was comparable to Katz's (1970, p. 49) and Neal's (1967, p. 37) experimental groups' mean scores and higher than the other two reported studies' sample means (McNichol, 1973; Neal, 1977).

The highest hearing score mean (4.39 out of a possible 5) in the current sample was comparable again to Katz's (1970, p. 40) and Neal's (1967, p. 53) experimental groups and higher than the other two studies' means for the auditory scale (McNichol, 1973; Neal, 1977).

The vision mean score of 7.49 out of a possible 10 was higher than Katz's (1970, p. 40) subjects and similar to McNichol's (1973) tactile stimulation experimental group. Neal's results on vision (1967, 1977) were scored differently and cannot be compared.

The final score on the Rosenblith assesses muscle tension from flaccid (0) to marked tension (9), the normal being rated 5. The current sample's mean score of 5.12 falls, as did McNichol's (1973) sample and Katz's (1970, p. 43) experimental group, into the normal range. Katz's control group had lower scores.

Hence development as assessed on the Rosenblith, with the exception of motor strength, in this total sample including the control group was as good as or better than that reported in the experimental groups of the previous studies.

Implications for nursing. The weakest area of development predischarge as assessed by the Rosenblith in all these studies is the motor domain of the preterm infant. Encouragement of parent participation in all aspects of care to learn competent handling and

support of their infant prior to discharge is warranted. Ground rules to prevent interested siblings from causing detrimental effects to their new arrival's immature motor system need to be established after discharge. A weak sucking capability may need monitoring by the public health nurse to ensure adequate caloric intake.

SUMMARY

In this study there was no discernable effect of taped voice and music on any of the outcome measures. It is possible that the total sample of premature infants in these university teaching hospitals received adequate amounts of nutritive stimulation and thus the additional supplementary auditory stimulation was superfluous. The current study is the most recent one and it is entirely possible that the findings of the earlier studies have influenced nursing practice. If, indeed, staff nurses themselves and the parents they teach daily plan to provide the premature infant with visual, tactile, kinesthetic, auditory, and vestibular stimulation, the fruition of 20 years of research may have been realized. The long-term effects of such changing nursing practices on subsequent morbidity are yet to be determined but preliminary findings are encouraging (Chapman, 1980).

REFERENCES

- Barnard, K. Discussion. In G. C. Anderson & B. Raff (Eds.), Newborn behavioral organization: Nursing research and implications. New York: Alan R. Liss, 1979.
- Barnard, K. The effect of stimulation on the sleep behavior of the premature infant. Communicating Nursing Research, 1973, 6, 12-33.
- Brazelton, T. B. Neonatal behavioral assessment scale. Clinics in Developmental Medicine (No. 50). London: Heineman, 1973.
- Campbell, D., Kuyek, J., Lang, E., & Partington, M. W. Motor activity in early life. II. Daily motor activity output in the neonatal period. *Biology of the Neonate*, 1971, 18, 108-120.
- Campbell, D. T., & Stanley, J. G. Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for research. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1963.
- Chapman, J. S. Potential of the premature infant: A 1980 perspective. Annual Lecture Series. Winnipeg: School of Nursing, The University of Manitoba and VON, Winniped Branch, 1980.
- Chapman, J. S. The relationship between auditory stimulation and gross motor activity of short-gestation infants. Research in Nursing and Health, 1978, 1, 29-36.
- Dargassies, S. Long-term neurological follow-up study of 286 truly premature infants. Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology, 1977, 19, 462-78.
- Dargassies, S. Normality and normalization as seen in a long-term neurological followup of 286 truly premature infants. *Neuropaediatrie*, 1979, 10, 226-244.

- DeHirsch, K., Jansky, M. S., & Langford, W. S. Comparisons between prematurely and maturely born children at three age levels. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1966, 36, 616-628.
- Douglas, J. W. B. 'Premature' children at primary schools. *British Medical Journal*, 1960, 5, 1008-1013.
- Drillien, C. M. The incidence of mental and physical handicaps in school age children of very low birth weight. *Pediatrics*, 1967, 39, 238-247.
- Fitzhardinge, P., & Ramsey, M. The improving outlook for the small prematurely born infant. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, 1973, 15, 447-459.
- Freeman, E. K. The effects of interpersonal stimulation on growth and development of premature infants (Doctoral dissertation, The University of Florida, 1969), Dissertation Abstracts International, 1969, 30B, 2982-B. (University Microfilms No. 70-20754)
- Groom, G. L. The effect of perinatal factors and supplementary stimulation of premature infants upon measures of neonatal cognitive behavior (Doctoral dissertation, Purdue University, 1973). Dissertation Abstracts International, 1973, 34B, 4663-B. (University Microfilms No. 74-4969)
- Haselmeyer, E. G. Behavior patterns of premature infants: A study of the relationship between a specific nursing procedure and general well-being of the prematurely born infant (U.S. DHEW Publication No. 840). Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1961.
- Hasselmeyer, E. G. Handling and premature infant behavior: An experimental study of the relationship between handling and selected physiological, pathological, and behavioral indices related to body function among a group of prematurely born infants who weighed between 1501 and 2000 grams at birth and were between the ages of seven and 28 days of life (Doctoral dissertation, New York University 1963). Dissertation Abstracts International, 1963, 24B, 2847B02875B. (University Microfilms No. 64-257)
- Kang, R., & Barnard, K. Using the neonatal behavioral assessment scale to evaluate premature infants. In G. C. Anderson & B. Raff (Eds.), Newborn behavioral organization: Nursing research and implications. New York: Alan R. Liss, 1979, 119-144.
- Katz, V. The relationship between auditory stimulation and the developmental behavior of the premature infant (Doctoral dissertation, New York University, 1970). Dissertation Abstracts International, 1971, 31B, 4156B-4175B. (University Microfilms No. 70-26463)
- Katz, V. Auditory stimulation and developmental behavior of the premature infant. Nursing Research, 1971, 20, 196-201.
- Korner, A. F., Kraemer, H. C., Haffner, M. E., & Cosper, L. M. Effects of waterbed flotation on premature infants: A pilot study. *Pediatrics*, 1975, 56, 361-367.
- Kramer, L. I., & Pierpont, M. E. Rocking waterbeds and auditory stimuli to enhance growth of preterm infants. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 1976, 88, 297-299.
- Kramer, M., Chamorro, I., Green, D., & Knudtson, F. Extra-tactile stimulation of the premature infant. *Nursing Research*, 1975, 24, 324-34
- Lubchenco, L. O., Delivoria-Pagadopoulos, M., & Searls, D. Long-term follow-up studies of prematurely born infant. II. Influence of birth weight and gestational age on sequelae. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 1972, 80, 509-512.
- McNichol, T. A. Some effects of different programs of enrichment on the development of premature infants in the hospital nursery (Doctoral dissertation, New York University, 1973). Dissertation Abstracts International, 1973, 34B, 4707-8B. (University Microfilms No. 74-5013)

- Molfese, D. L. Infant cerebral asymmetry. In S. Segalowitz & F. Gruber (Eds.), Language development and neurological theory. New York: Academic Press, 1977.
- Neal, M. V. The relationship between a regimen of vestibular stimulation and the developmental behavior of the premature infant (Doctoral dissertation, New York University, 1967). Dissertation Abstracts International, 1970, 30, 4151A. (University Microfilms No. 70-73342)
- Neal, M. V. Vestibular stimulation and development of the small premature infant. Communicating Nursing Research, 1977, 8, 291-302.
- Parmelee, A. H., Bruck, K., Bruck, M., Wenner, W. H., Akiyama, Y., Stern, E., & Flescher, J. Electroencephalography and brain maturation. In A. Minkowski (Ed.), Regional development of the brain in early life. London: Blackwell, 1967, 459-476.
- Powell, L. F. The effect of extra stimulation and maternal involvement on the development of low-birth-weight infants and on maternal behavior. *Child Development*, 1974, 45, 106-113.
- Rausch, P. B. Effects of tactile and kinesthetic stimulation on premature infants. Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic and Neonatal Nursing, 1981, 10, 34-37.
- Robinson, N. M., & Robinson, H. B. A follow-up study of children of low birth weight and control children at school age. *Pediatrics*, 1965, 35, 425-433.
- Rosenblith, J. F. The modified Graham Behavior Test for neonates: Test-retest reliability, normative data, and hypotheses for future work. *Biologica Neonatorum*, 1961, 3, 174-192.
- Schapiro, S., & Vukovich, K. R. Early experience effects upon cortical dendrites: A proposed model for development. *Science*, 1970, 167, 292-294.
- Segall, M. Relationship between auditory stimulation and heart rate response of the premature infant. *Nursing Research Conference*, 1971, 7, 119-129 (ANA Publication).
- Shennan, A. T., & Milligan, J. E. Role of prematurity in perinatal mortality. *Ontario Medical Review*, 1980, 47(3), 105-106.
- Siqueland, E. R. Biological and experimental determinants of exploration in infancy. In L. Stone, H. Smith & L. Murphy (Eds.), *The competent infant: Research and commentary*. New York: Basic Books, 1973, 822-823.
- Solkoff, N., & Matuszak, D. Tactile stimulation and behavioral development among low birthweight infants. Child Psychiatry and Human Development, 1975, 6, 33-37.
- Solkoff, N., Yaffe, S., Weintraub, D., & Blase, B. Effects of handling on the subsequent development of premature infants. *Developmental Psychology*, 1969, 1, 765-768.
- Swyer, P. R. The regional organization of special care for the neonate. *The Pediatric Clinics of North America*, 1970, 17, 761-776.
- Tilford, J. A. The relationship between gestational age and adaptive behavior. Merrill-Palmer Quarterly, 1976, 22, 319-326.
- Usher, R., & McLean, F. Intrauterine growth of live-born Caucasian infants at sea level: Standards obtained for measurements in 7 dimensions of infants born between 25 and 44 weeks of gestation. The Journal of Pediatrics, 1969, 74, 901-910.
- White, J. L., & Labarba, R. C. The effect of tactile and kinesthetic stimulation on neonatal development in the premature infant. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 1976, 9, 569-577.
- Wolff, P. H. The causes, controls, and organization of behavior in the neonate. *Psychological Issues*, 1966, 5, 1-93. (Monograph)
- Wright, L. The theoretical and research base for a program of early stimulation care and training of premature infants. In Jerome Hellmuth (Ed.), Exceptional infant: Studies in abnormalities (Vol. 2). New York: Brunner/Mazel, 1971.

REFERENCE NOTES

- 1. Giles, H. R. Perinatology an historical perspective. Paper presented at the 3rd Annual March of Dimes Perinatal Nursing Conference, Chicago, 1978.
- 2. Freedman, D., Boverman, H., & Freedman, N. Effects of kinesthetic stimulation on weight gain and on smiling in premature infants. Paper presented at the American Orthopsychiatric Association, San Francisco, April, 1966.
- 3. Rosenblith, J. Personal communication, 1975.

RÉSUMÉ

Suivi longitudinal d'enfants prématurés: résultats du programme de stimulation à la sortie de l'hôpital

Certains auteurs ont suggéré que la morbidité tardive observée chez l'enfant prématuré est liée à un environnement inadéquat à la période néonatale. Des recherches antérieures ont permis de constater qu'une stimulation additionnelle était efficace. L'objectif de la présente étude était d'évaluer les effets d'un type de stimulation additionnelle, en l'occurrence l'enregistrement de musique et de la voix des parents, en regard des caractéristiques anthropométriques, de l'activité et du développement de l'enfant. Pour le groupe témoin on a utilisé un devis-après-seulement. En tout, 245 sujets gardés en incubateur ou au berceau, ont été soumis à cette stimulation additionnelle. Aucune différence n'a été observée entre les sujets du groupe expérimental et les sujets du groupe témoin, à la sortie, dans chacune des trois caractéristiques mesurées. Les conséquences de cette recherche au niveau des soins infirmiers font l'objet d'une discussion.